

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHARON BRIDGEWATER,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROGER TONNA, et al.,

Defendants.

No. C-10-4966 MMC

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTIONS FOR RELIEF FROM
JUDGMENT, TO RE-OPEN CASE, FOR
LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED
COMPLAINT, AND FOR SUMMARY
ADJUDICATION; DENYING
APPLICATIONS FOR TEMPORARY
RESTRAINING ORDER AND TO
SHORTEN TIME**

Before the Court is plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater's "Ex Parte Motion [for] Relief from Judgment(s)," filed October 12, 2011, and plaintiff's "Ex Parte Motion to Re-open Case," filed October 12, 2011.

The instant filings represent, respectively, plaintiff's fourth and fifth attempts to set aside the judgment entered March 30, 2011. Having read and considered plaintiff's motions for relief from the judgment and to reopen the case, the Court hereby DENIES said motions for the reason plaintiff, again, fails to show any cognizable basis exists for reconsideration of the judgment entered March 30, 2011. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b).

Further, in light of the denial of the motions for relief from the judgment and to reopen the case, plaintiff's "Ex Parte Motion with Leave to File a First Amended Complaint," filed October 12, 2011 and amended October 13, 2011, "Ex Parte Motion for Summary

1 Adjudication of Issues,” filed October 12, 2011, “Ex Parte Application Temporary
2 Restraining Order with Asset Freeze,” filed October 12, 2011, and “Ex Parte Application for
3 Order Shortening Time,” filed October 12, 2011, are hereby DENIED as moot.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5
6 Dated: October 24, 2011

7 
8 MAXINE M. CHESNEY
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28